



Novel Center Seeks to Add Spark to Origins of Life

Jon Cohen

Science, New Series, Vol. 270, No. 5244 (Dec. 22, 1995), 1925-1926.

Stable URL:

<http://links.jstor.org/sici?sici=0036-8075%2819951222%293%3A270%3A5244%3C1925%3ANCSTAS%3E2.0.CO%3B2-U>

Science is currently published by American Association for the Advancement of Science.

Your use of the JSTOR archive indicates your acceptance of JSTOR's Terms and Conditions of Use, available at <http://www.jstor.org/about/terms.html>. JSTOR's Terms and Conditions of Use provides, in part, that unless you have obtained prior permission, you may not download an entire issue of a journal or multiple copies of articles, and you may use content in the JSTOR archive only for your personal, non-commercial use.

Please contact the publisher regarding any further use of this work. Publisher contact information may be obtained at <http://www.jstor.org/journals/aaas.html>.

Each copy of any part of a JSTOR transmission must contain the same copyright notice that appears on the screen or printed page of such transmission.

JSTOR is an independent not-for-profit organization dedicated to creating and preserving a digital archive of scholarly journals. For more information regarding JSTOR, please contact jstor-info@jstor.org.

INSTITUTIONAL PROFILE

Novel Center Seeks to Add Spark to Origins of Life

LA JOLLA, CALIFORNIA—For more than 4 decades, a small band of researchers has been

NSCORT colleagues are tackling a diverse set of issues, ranging from the chemistry of

trying to explore a question that is about as fundamental as you can get: How did life begin? So far, they have only nibbled at the edges of the topic. Part of the problem is simply the difficulty of peering 4 billion years

into the past, which is roughly when life on Earth likely originated. But another, more human, dilemma has held back origin of life studies, too: It isn't really a field. There is no degree in the discipline; although there is an international society, it has all of 300



SUSAN R. GREEN

Earth's early atmosphere, to the notion that life may have been seeded from space, to the conditions that might give rise to robust RNA molecules.

Even without NASA's help, the five principal investigators (PIs)—all chemists of different stripes—would be in the forefront of origin of life studies. In addition to Miller, the group consists of Leslie Orgel at the Salk Institute for Biological Studies, Gustaf Arrhenius and Jeffrey Bada from

which are critical to all living things. “[That study] had a tremendously important role in making chemists aware that the whole question of origin of life could be approached by lab experiments,” says NSCORT's Arrhenius. “It became an acceptable field.”

Yet today, Arrhenius and many other researchers dismiss the experiment itself because they contend that the early atmosphere looked nothing like the Miller-Urey

simulation. Basically, Miller and Urey relied on a “reducing” atmosphere, a condition in which molecules are fat with hydrogen atoms. As Miller showed later, he could not make organics in an “oxidizing” atmosphere.

Arrhenius's objection “starts from the observation that Earth now has such a high proportion of water,” he says, noting that H_2O is a strong oxidizing agent. “And there's no theory to say the early Earth was deficient in water.” Indeed, he believes it had much more water than was simulated in the Miller-Urey experiment. Also, methane and ammonia are

